

Economic and Regional Studies

Studia Ekonomiczne i Regionalne

International Open Access Journal in the field of economics and regional and social sciences disseminating scientific knowledge and the results of research into theoretical and practical issues.

John Paul II University of Applied Sciences in Biala Podlaska

ECREG STUDIES- www.ers.edu.pl

REVIEW FORM

(confidential part – information for the Editorial Board)

Title of article:.....

Please respond to the following questions by providing your answers in appropriate boxes. In the second part of the review, which will be forwarded to the author, briefly evaluate the article, paying particular attention to its scientific value and novelty. Your opinion on the language and editorial quality as well as suggestions and comments will be helpful for improving the work.

Editor in Chief

	yes	no	changes required	0-10 points
1. Does the article conform to the standards of “Economic and Regional Studies/ Studia Ekonomiczne i Regionalne”?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
2. Are the research subject, aims and hypotheses well-defined?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
3. Are the research materials and methods adequate?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
4. Are the findings original and of scientific value?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
5. Is the content presented in a clear and well organized manner?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
6. Are the charts, figures and tables correct?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
7. Is the list of references representative and adequate?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
8. Is the language of the study correct and is the terminology used in an adequate way?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
9. Do the conclusions correspond with the aims of study and the content of the article?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Overall evaluation (0-10 points)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	0-2 points	3-5 points	6-7 points	8-9 points	10 points

SUMMARY EVALUATION

The work **is recommended** for publication in the ECREG STUDIES

- with no alterations
- after minor alterations (no new review required)
- after major revision (new review required)

The work **is not recommended** for publication in ECREG STUDIES journal

.....
(date and signature)

Reviewer's name and surname

.....

Economic and Regional Studies Studia Ekonomiczne i Regionalne

DESCRIPTIVE PART OF THE REVIEW ACCESSIBLE TO THE AUTHOR

Title of article:.....

1. Characteristics of the article with special attention to its scientific quality and novelty.

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

2. Comments on the content, scientific quality and language of the article.

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

3. Other comments and suggestions for the authors.

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....